CORE LABORATORIES — CANADA LTD.
PETROLEUM RESERVOIR ENGINEERING

GAS ANALYSIS

Company: Chevron Standard Limited — Page: 1 of 1

Well: — File: 921-1331

Field: — Analyst: PB

Location: — Elevation: K.B. — Grd.

Formation: — Depth:

Sampled from: E. Porc. #7 — by:

Sampling pressure: — psig — Sampling temp. — °F — Ambient temp. — °F

Date sampled: — Date received: Apr. 30/71 — Date analysed: Apr. 30/71

Container pressure: 6 psig — Mud: — Water cushion:

Recovery or flowrate:

COMPONENT	MOLE %	IMP. GPM @ 14.65 psia and 60°F
Hydrogen		
Helium		
Nitrogen	.38	
Carbon Dioxide	4.64	
Hydrogen Sulphide	.00	
Methane	89.96	
Ethane	3.61	
Propane	.78	.178
Iso Butane	.18	.049
Normal Butane	.20	.052
Iso Pentane	.09	.027
Normal Pentane	.06	.018
Hexanes	.06	.020
Heptanes Plus	.04	.015
Total	100.00	.359
Pentanes Plus		.080

SPECIFIC GRAVITY

Calculated .636 — Measured

GROSS B.T.U. per SCF 1061.8

Calculated @ 14.65 psia, 60°F, moisture and acid - gas free.

VAPOR PRESSURE of PENTANES PLUS

(calculated) 12.4 psia @ 100°F

Pseudo Critical Pressure 690.7 psia

Pseudo Critical Temperature 366.0 °R

Remarks: Cylinder #C-169

SOBC E. Porcupine YT I-13

"ELK"

CORE LABORATORIES - CANADA, LTD.
Petroleum Reservoir Engineering
CALGARY, ALBERTA

Company SOBC WM. E. PORCUPINE Formation BLACKIE Page 1 of

Well Elkland ↓ Date Report File 913-181

Field, Province D. Fluid Analysts SAMPLES 10-24

Location Analysis CORE #1 Remarks DRIED AIR

DEPTH - 3658 - 3718

CORE ANALYSIS RESULTS

(Figures in parentheses refer to footnote remarks)

Depth Feet	Ft. Rep.	Permeability Millidarcys K Max	K 90°	KV	Perm. Ft.	Porosity Percent	Porosity Feet	Density Bulk	Density Grain	Residual Saturation Oil % Pore	Residual Saturation Total % water Pore	Visual Examination
		CORE #					(Rec. 57') (12 Boxes)					
—	3.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	DENSE SILTY V SHALY
1	0.9	0.03	0.03	<0.01	0.03	4.9	4.41	2.52	2.65			FS SH/LAYS
—	2.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	DENSE SILTY V SHALY
—	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	SHALE
—	1.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	DENSE SILTY
—	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	SHALE
—	5.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	DENSE SILTY & SHALY
2	1.6	0.04	0.04	<0.01	0.06	5.9	9.44	2.51	2.67			FS SILTY
3	1.3	0.64	0.57	0.16	1.09	7.3	9.49	2.44	2.64			FS F
4	1.4	0.65	0.61	0.03	0.91	6.2	8.68	2.46	2.62			FS SH/LAYS
5	1.4	1.02	0.93	0.13	1.43	7.6	10.64	2.42	2.62			FS
6	1.6	0.67	0.41	0.05	1.07	7.1	11.36	2.43	2.62			FS SH/LAYS
7	2.0	0.94	0.85	0.15	1.89	7.2	14.46	2.45	2.64			FS SH/LAYS
8	1.6	1.03	0.66	0.03	1.65	6.3	10.08	2.48	2.65			FS SH/LAYS
9	1.4	0.33	0.33	<0.01	0.46	6.5	9.10	2.48	2.65			FS SH/LAYS
—	5.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	DENSE SILTY V SHALY
—	1.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	SHALE
10	1.0	14.76	14.36	0.97		8.2		2.47	2.69	5.1	15.3	

ELK

CORE LABORATORIES - CANADA, LTD.

Petroleum Reservoir Engineering

CALGARY, ALBERTA

Company ..... Formation ..... Page 2 of .....

Well CHEVRON ..... Date Report ..... File 913-181

Field, Province ..... D. Fluid ..... Analysts SAMPLES 10-24

Location ..... Analysis ..... Remarks DRIED SWEET

CORE ANALYSIS RESULTS

(Figures in parentheses refer to footnote remarks)

Depth Feet	Ft. Rep.	Permeability Millidarcys K Max	K 90°	KV	Perm. Ft.	Porosity Percent	Porosity Feet	Density Bulk	Density Grain	Residual Saturation Oil % Pore	Residual Saturation Total water % Pore	Visual Examination
11	1.4	8.44	6.82	3.66		10.1		2.35	2.62	6.7	18.5	
12	1.0	18.51	18.51	2.86		10.2		2.34	2.61	15.5	23.2	
13	1.2	21.90	14.62	1.70		9.3		2.39	2.63	12.5	19.7	
14	1.3	12.02	10.73	0.83		8.7		2.40	2.63	9.1	20.5	
15	1.3	4.76	4.65	0.39		7.8		2.43	2.63	8.9	22.4	
16	1.2	4.65	3.27	0.48		7.8		2.44	2.64	12.7	25.5	
---	0.8	---	---	---							---	DENSE SILTY
17	1.2	0.46	0.39	0.06		6.3		2.48	2.65	16.5	25.9	
18	1.5	0.61	0.23	0.08		7.2		2.44	2.63	10.7	21.4	
19	1.4	0.30	0.22	<0.01		7.0		2.45	2.63	12.3	17.2	
20	1.3	0.17	0.17	<0.01		6.7		2.46	2.63	17.7	22.7	
21	1.0	0.60	0.35	<0.01		5.9		2.48	2.63	18.1	28.4	
22	1.3	1.18	1.00	<0.01		6.7		2.48	2.65	16.2	25.5	
23	0.8	0.11	0.11	<0.01		5.6		2.49	2.64	13.5	27.1	
---	1.0	---	---	---							---	SHALE
24	0.9	0.17	0.14	<0.01		5.4		2.50	2.65	0.0	20.0	
---	0.8	---	---	---							---	DENSE SILTY

These analyses, opinions or interpretations are based on observations and materials supplied by the client to whom, and for whose exclusive and confidential use, this report is made. The interpretations or opinions expressed represent the best judgment of Core Laboratories - Canada Ltd. (all errors and omissions excepted); but Core Laboratories - Canada Ltd. and its officers and employees, assume no responsibility and make no warranty or representations as to the productivity, proper operation, or profitableness of any oil, gas or other mineral well or sand in connection with which such report is used