

Anna.Fonseca
From: Shirley.Abercrombie
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2000 8:35 PM
To: Jo-Anne.van Randen; Daniele.Heon; Anna.Fonseca
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro, background information

For your information.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Friday, July 28, 2000 2:42 PM
To: Shirley.Abercrombie; John.Masterson
Cc: Joe.MacGillivray; Bruce.McLean
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro, background information

Please note the below message from Bruce McLean re: the Ddhaw Ghro HPA.

Ec Dev will be participating on the Ddhaw Ghro Steering Committee (whose mandate is to prepare the recommended management plan). I have been appointed as the Ec Dev member. We are hoping to be able to get the 1st SC meeting together before the end of September, at which time we will be clarifying the Terms of Reference for the Committee and initiating work on a work plan etc. As the departments rep on the Steering Committee, I will be wanting to keep in good touch with both the Oil and Gas and the Minerals branch, so that I can be fully familiar with all the information, interests and issues etc that the SC needs to take into consideration.

RR is also proposing that an internal YTG Working Group be established to clarify YTG corporate interests related to the preparation of the DG management plan. RR will be sending out further communications on this in the next while. I am expecting that the WG will be a good interdepartmental forum to discuss our departmental interests as well.

I'll be on leave for the entire month of August. As soon as I get back in Sept. I would like to get together with both of you to discuss DG and the planning process further.

Bruce's note is a "heads up" that we are expecting to start the process of assembling existing background information on DG in the near future. If you have any general inventory information that you want to share with Bruce before I return, please feel free to do so. Please keep Joe MacGillivray in the loop.

If any other matters come up re: DG while I am gone, please discuss these with Joe. Otherwise, I look forward to meeting with you when I get back.

Thanks

Bob

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce.McLean
Sent: Friday, July 28, 2000 11:57 AM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: Ddhaw Ghro, background information.

Hi Bob,

I am starting to compile background information on the Ddhaw Ghro area.

Anna.Fonseca

From: Shirley.Abercrombie
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 11:07 AM
To: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: FW: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

FYI

—Original Message—

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 10:07 AM
To: John.Masterson; Shirley.Abercrombie
Cc: Joe.MacGillivray
Subject: RE: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

Hi Shirley and John.

I have booked the 4th floor Shoppers bldg. boardroom from 11 - noon Sept 15 for our get together on Ddhaw Ghro.

For the Friday meeting, I would like to cover:

- the draft terms of reference for the DG Steering Committee & DG Working Group (I'll fax copies to you today)
- anticipated roles, processes and workplans
- Ec Dev departmental interests

Please invite the staff from your branches that you anticipate will be involved in the project. This will be very much an introductory meeting to get familiarized with the project only. It is in preparation for the first interdepartmental working group meeting that is being scheduled by RR for sept 22 (which will also be very introductory).

Thanks

Bob

—Original Message—

From: John.Masterson
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2000 6:54 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Cc: Shirley.Abercrombie; Sharon.Legoffe
Subject: RE: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

Available in the morning and in late afternoon on the 15th.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2000 4:53 PM
To: John.Masterson
Subject: FW: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

John.

Shirley and Anna prefer the 15th. Does this work for you?

Bob

—Original Message—

From: Shirley.Abercrombie
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2000 3:11 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper

Subject: RE: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

Either the 15th or 21st is okay with me. I am free all day either of those days. I have a meeting on Sept. 14th but I don't know if it is in the morning or afternoon yet.

—Original Message—

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Tuesday, September 12, 2000 2:48 PM
To: Shirley.Abercrombie; John.Masterson
Cc: Joe.MacGillivray
Subject: FW: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

Hi John and Shirley,

As per the below note, RR is planning a first meeting of the Ddhaw Ghro Working Group for September 22. Time and place still to be determined. It may be useful for the Ec Dev folks to get together beforehand to briefly discuss our involvement / interests before this meeting. I suggest September 14, 15 or 21.

Please let me know what works for you.

Thanks

Bob

—Original Message—

From: Bruce.McLean
Sent: Monday, September 11, 2000 2:40 PM
To: Joy.Waters
Cc: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: YTG Ddhaw Ghro Working Group

Joy,

Re: Ddhaw Ghro YTG working group.

We sent out a letter from Bill dated 22 August asking for interest from other Departments. (see attached)
<< File: 200009.doc >>

I have heard back from C&TS but have not heard back from other Departments (unofficially from Heritage)
I would like to schedule a meeting for 22 September.
I anticipate Heritage, Tourism, Ec. Dev, DRR would participate on a regular basis. Justice and Land Claims would likely only be required for specific questions or reviews.

Could you please send an e-mail reminder to the other Departments whether they are planning to participate.

Bruce

Bruce D. McLean

Habitat Protection Coordinator
Habitat, Renewable Resources
P.O Box 2703, Whitehorse, YT
Y1A 2C6

867-667-5803

Anna.Fonseca

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:30 PM
To: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Anna,

What kind of specific information are you looking for? If you let me know 'll see what I can find.

Bob

—Original Message—

From: Joe.MacGillivray
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:25 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Bob - You are working on Dhaw Ghro - can you help Anna out - Since this is contained in a land claim I'm sure that the feds would have something.

JM

—Original Message—

From: Anna.Fonseca
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2000 2:09 PM
To: Joe.MacGillivray
Cc: Ella.LeGresley; Shirley.Abercrombie
Subject: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

I am looking for any information I can find on the map notation of the McArthur Wildlife preserve. I found a series of numbers from the Territorial Resource Base Maps, but the information is not available from DIAND or from YTG Lands departments.

It seems that only Renewable Resources would have the information on the map notations. Would you be able to obtain that information, or should I try contacting RR myself?

The codes that are listed on the maps are:

INAC 105M-5-001 (McArthur Wildlife Preserve)
I.B.P. Site No. 21 (McArthur Wildlife Preserve)
INAX 105K-13-002 (Ethel Lake proposed park)

105H-05-0000-00003 (Frances Lake notation)
105H-03-001 (Upper Canyon notation)
YEC 14920

Thank you very much,

AF

Anna.Fonseca

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:39 PM
To: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Do you still need anything? We can discuss at our meeting on Friday. As well, we can discuss at the interdepartmental WG meeting next week. I expect that we will all want to work from the same set of information / base maps,

-----Original Message-----

From: Joe.MacGillivray
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:27 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Bob - Don't worry about my earlier request - Ella looked after it.
JM

-----Original Message-----

From: Ella.LeGresley
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2000 4:38 PM
To: Joe.MacGillivray; Bob.Kuiper
Cc: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

I'm quite certain that the second set of numbers refer to the flooding notations for some of the proposed hydro projects that were identified during UFA negotiations (see 7.8.1), and YEC probably stands for Yukon Energy Corporation. LCIS' contact on these matters is John Maissan at YEC.

I called the "keeper of the maps" at Fed. Claims to ask about the other numbers, though I think two of them are park notations not unlike the Kusawa or wetland notations. If so, they would have been requested by Renewable and the Feds. wouldn't have a whole lot of documentation. If they are park notations, it doesn't mean a whole lot, more of a flag alerting people to future possibilities. I've heard of IBP sites before - "B" for biological or something, again a matter that Renewable would pursue.

I advised Anna of the above, and she'll wait for your ok or further info. before pursuing RR or YEC for info.

Ella.

-----Original Message-----

From: Anna.Fonseca
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2000 2:09 PM
To: Joe.MacGillivray
Cc: Ella.LeGresley; Shirley.Abercrombie
Subject: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

I am looking for any information I can find on the map notation of the McArthur Wildlife preserve. I found a series of numbers from the Territorial Resource Base Maps, but the information is not available from DIAND or from YTG Lands departments.

It seems that only Renewable Resources would have the information on the map notations. Would you be able to obtain that information, or should I try contacting RR myself?

The codes that are listed on the maps are:

INAC 105M-5-001 (McArthur Wildlife Preserve)
I.B.P. Site No. 21 (McArthur Wildlife Preserve)
INAX 105K-13-002 (Ethel Lake proposed park)

105H-05-0000-00003 (Frances Lake notation)
105H-03-001 (Upper Canyon notation)
YEC 14920

Thank you very much,

AF

Anna.Fonseca

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 2:44 PM
To: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: RE: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Anna,

I'll check with Bruce at RR. Thanks for raising this item. It will be useful to flag this type of info as background material to be assembled for use in the management planning process. I'll raise it at the WG meeting next week.

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Anna.Fonseca
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:53 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: RE: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Hello, Bob.

I was looking for any background information on the McArthur Wildlife Preserve. More specifically, any documentation behind the map notation (#10-21). This notation discouraged exploration for nearly 30 years, so it may be interesting to find out if there was originally any intent of permanent land withdrawal.

Thanks,

AF

Anna.Fonseca

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 2:55 PM
To: Bruce.McLean
Cc: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: Ddhaw Ghro SMA

Hi Bruce,

As part of our background info collection, it might be useful to assemble any original documentation that is still available re the original designation and map notation for McArthur and its management since that time. (see below note from Anna F) Does RR have any of this stuff? Or do you know where it might be located?

We can discuss at our WG meeting.

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Anna.Fonseca
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:53 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: RE: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Hello, Bob.

I was looking for any background information on the McArthur Wildlife Preserve. More specifically, any documentation behind the map notation (#10-21). This notation discouraged exploration for nearly 30 years, so it may be interesting to find out if there was originally any intent of permanent land withdrawal.

Thanks,

AF

Anna.Fonseca

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2000 2:02 PM
To: Anna.Fonseca
Cc: Bruce.McLean; Joe.MacGillivray
Subject: FW: Ddhaw Ghro SMA

Anna:

Please note question by Bruce re: mineral claims. Do you have any information on this?

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce.McLean
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2000 1:54 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: RE: Ddhaw Ghro SMA

Bob,

I can't find anything on the original order that spoke to mineral prohibitions. The geologist (Bostock) at the time recommended no mining in the area but I don't know if it was actually prohibited.
Could Anna find out if there were ever any mineral claims in the Sanctuary or immediately adjacent area?

Bruce

-----Original Message-----

From: Bob.Kuiper
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 2:55 PM
To: Bruce.McLean
Cc: Anna.Fonseca
Subject: Ddhaw Ghro SMA

Hi Bruce,

As part of our background info collection, it might be useful to assemble any original documentation that is still available re the original designation and map notation for McArthur and its management since that time. (see below note from Anna F) Does RR have any of this stuff? Or do you know where it might be located?

We can discuss at our WG meeting.

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: Anna.Fonseca
Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2000 1:53 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper
Subject: RE: Ddhaw Ghro SMA and Frances Lake Proposed Territorial Park - map notations

Hello, Bob.

I was looking for any background information on the McArthur Wildlife Preserve. More specifically, any documentation behind the map notation (#10-21). This notation discouraged exploration for nearly 30 years, so it

may be interesting to find out if there was originally any intent of permanent land withdrawal.

Thanks,

AF

Anna.Fonseca

From: Riona.Freeman
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2000 4:57 PM
To: Anna.Fonseca; Bob.Kuiper; Shirley.Abercrombie
Cc: Joe.MacGillivray
Subject: RE: Comments and questions about today's meeting

I agree it was unexpected & unfortunate to have the Steering Committee representative voice his unwillingness to toe the line (tow the line)? But I think he got the idea that the technical working group is the place to sort that out. It is hard to be a technical person AND a politician/negotiator at the same time and I empathize with him - he does live in the community, right? I guess that is something for Bob to watch for.

I agree that permanent withdrawal of subsurface rights is not necessarily a requirement for managing a protected area, particularly when it appeared that economics had such a large role in the land claims settlement. Anna, I am interested in seeing that documentation you have regarding other jurisdictions. Sometime after you get back, perhaps.

If the protection of the sheep is the goal of the HPA, I think it is our mandate to respect that. It doesn't follow that O&G or mineral rights must be withdrawn in order for that to happen, however. It is hard to gauge what will follow at the steering committee meeting. I think it was unfortunate we did not have the time to have all the YTG representatives voice their concerns or issues. I imagine that will happen at a later date but it would have been good to get that out of the way.

Have a good weekend.

*Riona Freeman, P. Geo
Oil and Gas Resources Branch
Government of Yukon
Whitehorse, YT
Phone: (867) 667-8525 Fax: (867) 393-6262
Email: riona.freeman@gov.yk.ca*

-----Original Message-----

From: **Anna.Fonseca**
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2000 4:13 PM
To: Bob.Kuiper; Shirley.Abercrombie; Riona.Freeman
Cc: Joe.MacGillivray
Subject: Comments and questions about today's meeting

I have a few comments and questions regarding the meeting.

Role of working group members in the steering committee

It is clear from the Working Group Terms of Reference (section 5.1), that the members of the working group will bring forward the interests of the Yukon Government. Stating his disagreement with the corporate position during steering committee meetings would be negligence of that role, and would once again bring about the unwanted situation in which the RRC speaks for its own position, but is viewed as representing government. Economic Development worked hard to get its representation in important SMA plannings, and to take a corporate YTG position to the steering committee.

Inability to regulate wildlife

I propose that we take the initiative to contact Mining Land Use Regulations. We should ask for a refresher on the different exploration and mining permit classes, how they can be used to restrict access/work in critical habitat areas, and what legislative changes

would be realistic to make MLUR stricter in Habitat Protection Areas. I can contact them and set up a briefing for EcDev members after Thanksgiving.

Involvement of the Federal Government in the management plan

I am not sure if what was being discussed was the involvement of the Federal Government in the steering committee or in the Working Group, to provide a joint YTG-Federal corporate position.

Should we propose that one of the geologists from DIAND becomes involved in the working group? Mike Burke is the person who normally deals with land claims and withdrawal issues.

Examples from other jurisdictions

I have been compiling information on habitat protection areas and other types of protected areas across Canada and US, and what protection tools are used. So far, I have not seen any habitat protection areas that have land withdrawn from exploration and mining. Land use restrictions exist for activities that disturb the habitat, i.e., hunting and logging. I would like some input from the Bob and Joe, as to what format you would like me to summarize this information, since you will end up being the ones making the most use out of it.

Out of office

I will be away on vacations until Thanksgiving.

Thanks,
AF