

007346

10SP

# INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

FROM Rod Maarae

DATE June 17th, 1959

TO E. O. Chisholm

SUBJECT



A	W.S.R.	N
	G.A.C.	
	G.H.M.	
	E.O.C.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	H.A.P.	
	R.D.S.	
	E.C.B.	
	E.L.D.	
	J.I.B.	
	E.C.J.	

MESSAGE

(TO BE COMPLETED IN TRIPLICATE)

Dear Ted:

Harbottle and Connolly at Ross have come up with a proposal concerning nine or ten mineral showings that they say they have found, some of them not recently. The locations are all north and east of Ross and they propose that we examine them.

Bud says that Cannon looked at 5 of them for Newmont. The deal would be that if we wanted them we would stake them giving an interest as finder to T.C. and Bud.

I went over a map with Harbottle today: most of the locations are tributary to the Canol rd, north of Ross, and it appears it would involve about six hours flying if we examined them all at one time. All are base-metal showings except one which is asbestos.

Please let me know what you think. I'm inclined to the opinion we should take a look at any two, and if the others are similar, let the rest go. I estimate it would take about ten days to look at all of them: flying would run \$350.00

*AND NUGS*

Regarding the Wedge EM., the following are my requirements:

Date:	Prospect	Location
July 2nd	North Star Cu	Sockeye Lake
" 5	Barr Mtn Cu (DeBlois)	South Fairweather L
" 8	John Olie Copper	North Ross
" 10	T.O. Connolly-Harbottle prospects (if we decide to examine)	

It looks like I could use the instrument for three weeks in July,

Roderick Macrae

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF THIS FORM

Form to be completed in triplicate by originator. Two copies - No. 1 and No. 2 - to be forwarded to addressee. Copy No. 3 to be retained in originator's file until reply received. Addressee to complete reply in duplicate on the reverse side of sheets 1 and 2 and return No. 1 to originator. In following this procedure both parties have the complete message and reply on one sheet of paper.

# INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

FROM Mr. E.O. Chisholm

DATE 22 June 1959

TO Mr. Roderick Macrae

SUBJECT Harbottle-Connolly Showing

*[Handwritten initials]*

Reply

(TO BE COMPLETED IN DUPLICATE)

Dear Rod:

Anything Harbottle and Connolly have were probably examined thoroughly by Don Cannon in the past, as you mention. Neither of the two have a very fine regard for the truth, as you know.

The showings, of course, would have to stand on their individual merit, but I am virtually certain that Cannon has seen them all in the past. As a matter of fact, I know in one instance that Cannon would not admit to Conwest that he had seen one of Connolly's showings up that way because he did not want to stand in Connolly's way for a deal.

Unless they are very recent finds and they have samples and details to back it up, I would not go ten feet to examine any of their showings. They have nothing to lose, as they have not even taken the trouble to stake claims.

We may have something on file here about their location, if you can give me the co-ordinates.

EOC-dp

E.O. Chisholm

(TO BE COMPLETED IN DUPLICATE)



ECT	
TIB	
ETG	
CEG	
ETP	
KVB	
EOC	
CHW	
CVC	
MBB	

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

00348