

Re Annual B.I.N. Submittal on Lee claims

No anomalous geodes, even when values combined.

3 GRAV. ANOMALIES - 7 A - F Defined by R.S. Galeski

"A" Depth to top  $\approx 175'$  No Anomalous IP

1.0 mgal 250' hole recommended

Drilled 67 Flag 2 30+00S 5+00W 801'

hit minor py, po

30S  
5W/8W

"B" 1.0 mgal Depth to top  $\approx 450'$  Coincident IP, IP depth to top  $> 200 \leq 400'$

DRILLED @ 16E-25 800' to 725

67 Flag 1. Encountered minor py, po, manganese

"C" 0.5 mgal Depth to top  $\approx 140'$

"NB" FROM GRAVITY DATA "A" & "B" Anomalies are related to test of Best target @ "B".

"D" 69 F! DRILLED? OR JUST PROPOSED?

"E"

"F" DRILLED TO 225 - Grav. depth to top 250

Drilled ob & schist + phyllite to 152' then

73' of greenstone where terminated.

Water Permit - C.W. Williams  
Water Right Engineer

May - No Mag anomalies

EM SE 300 Nest loop. No graphics.

B.I. NESBITT'S LETTER INCORRECT RE WORK DONE ON LEE  
CLAIMS ON FOLLOWING POINTS.

p. 1 of letter # of cl

① # of claims held is 30 not 32 - minor point  
but rest of his work was just as careless

② Claims underlain by batholith, diorite dike, calc silicate  
same schist outcrop, & also calc silicate ~~underlain~~ may be  
underlain by schist.

③ Three anomalies drilled.

④ Only traces of sulfides found in core - this  
is common to rock units & nothing to get excited  
about

(p. 2 of letter)

point 5. Records show a short ~~test~~ hole  
69 Flag 1 drilled through calc silicate into  
diorite dike & <sup>hole</sup> abandoned

Best gravity anomalies drilled to  
excessive depths already.

Cyprus has only 1 good reason to enter  
the property - that would be to promote