

Annual B.I.N. Submittal on Lee claims
 No anomalous geochrons, even when values
 combined.

3 GRAV. ANOMALIES - 7 A - F Defined by R.B. Galeski

"A" Depth to top $\sim 175'$ No Anomalous IP
 1.0 mgal 250' hole recommended
 Drilled 67 Flag 2 30+00S 5700W 801'
 hit minor py, po

365
 SW/BW

"B" 1.0 mgal Depth to top $\sim 450'$ Coincident IP, IP depth to
 top $> 200 \leq 400'$
 DRILLED @ 16E-25 800' to 725'
 67 Flag 1. Encountered minor py, po, malacite

"C" 0.5 mgal Depth to top $\sim 140'$

"NB" FROM GRAVITY DATA "A" & "B" Anomalies are related
 to test of Best target @ "B".

"D" 69 F1 DRILLED? OR JUST PROPOSED?

"E"

"F" DRILLED TO 225 - Grav. depth to top 250
 Drilled ob & schist + phyllite to 152' then
 73' of greenstone where terminated

Water Permit - C.W. Williams
Water Right Engineer

May - No Mag anomalies

EM SE 300 Vert loop. No graphics.

B.I. NESBITT'S LETTER INCORRECT RE WORK DONE ON LEE
CLAIMS ON FOLLOWING POINTS.

p. 1 of letter # of cl

① ~~#~~ # of claims held is 30 not 32 - minor point
but rest of his work was just as careless

② Claims underlain by batholith, shorite dike, calc silicate
same schist outcrop, & also calc silicate ~~underlain~~ may be
underlain by schist.

③ Three anomalies drilled,

④ Only traces of sulfides found in core - this
is common to rock units & nothing to get excited
about

(p. 2 of letter) point 5. Records show a short ~~log~~ log
69 Flag 1 drilled through calc silicate into
diorite dike & ^{left} abandoned

Best gravity anomalies drilled to
excessive depths already.

Cyprus has only 1 good reason to enter
the project - that would be to promote