

southwest-directed thrust indicate displacement of several hundred metres. 'Dall Domain' is a 56 km long, up to 10 km wide region containing massive Earn Group conglomerate.

Gold Creek Fold Belt (Cecile, in prep.) is an area of intense brittle deformation of Cambrian argillite, Ordovician chert and less deformed Devono-Mississippian rocks. It may be an exposure of the decollement in lower Cambrian rocks believed to underlie most of the area, from the Itsi Range (to the south), to Einarson Domain and Rogue Decollement (to the northeast).

Possibly this is
within strata region
Gull Lake + Narchilla strata → *do or expose the decollement zone*
tectonically thickened (In NW having the domain's p-
Topkverring area

Einarson Domain (Cecile, in prep.) Tightly deformed lower to mid-Cambrian strata are overthrust by Yusezyu and Senoah Formations, with numerous fault repeats but a relatively simpler structural style. The footwall Narchilla and Gull Lake formations are a decollement zone.

Plata area: Moderately south-dipping thrusts expose Late Proterozoic to Middle Cambrian strata, juxtaposed with rocks as young as Triassic and Permian. Isoclinal, north-verging folds are common. The proliferation of faults with major stratigraphic throw but limited lateral extent may represent interference structures between regional scale faults, passing north and south of the area (Hess and Macmillan faults). Restoration of right lateral offset of the extension of Macmillan Fault would align the Wilson Range with the Fido Creek area, although offset by northwest trending shears near Mount Aho.

Fairweather Lake fault(s): A thin strip of steeply dipping Yusezyu Formation is juxtaposed against flat-lying upper Paleozoic units; lower Paleozoic successions flank the linear zone. At least one regional fault, presently interpreted as a steep dextral transcurrent fault, lies in the area.

Mount Osgoode Deformation Front: Extending northwest from Mount Osgoode is a boundary separating contrasting stratigraphy and a change from recrystallized, strained Yusezyu grit (to southwest) and Paleozoic strata that appears right way up with penetrative cleavage but intact beds. One interpretation is that this front represents an exposure of deep structural levels of the northeast margin of the northwest-moving Tombstone thrust sheet. If so, the Tombstone Thrust truncates, and lies further south than, the Robert service Thrust.

area
passes NEward into over about 3km.
is not highly strained.
A discrete
No fault has not
which moved NWward
A deep struct level
of Tombstone Thrust head (SW side)
is exposed.

been found, but the abrupt decrease in strain could represent the NE edge of

~~camera~~
geochen thresholds.
~~Cartoon~~

I must say I approach this review without much enthusiasm. I feel that once again, our comments will be ignored, no justification will be given for not incorporating them and this will be called consultation. I question the validity of this document as being a "government" policy

The introductory tone of this document is very patronizing, it addresses the concept of wilderness from an urban perspective. References to "poking around in the bush" trivialize the way of life of those who live in the bush. This attitude is characteristic of a certain bureaucratic mindset

There are some things we don't agree with. We've said them over and over again.

- There is still no elaboration of a rationale for the reason for protected areas
- Commitment to year 2000. Everyone agrees it is unrealistic, document spells out a 2-3 year time frame for implementation for a few ecoregions, contradicts the obvious. Can rephrase it as: although we've made a commitment for best effort by year 2000,
- Wolf lake
- Assumption that people relying on resource-based economy are marginal, that
- Assumption
- Large wilderness areas, not a criteria. Wilderness goals should fit criteria for ecoregion representation
- Criteria are too broad, not specific enough
- tourism should be considered as a form of development. It's impact should be addressed early in the planning stages.
- If this document is not to be a policy to encourage economic development, it should not be a policy document to promote tourism
- Still no review or acknowledgement of existing legislation, document maintains inaccurate perception that development occurs in legislative vacuum and that only stringent protection will allow anything to survive
- Concept of corridors and their levels of protection were never clarified. Now there is even stronger emphasis on them
- Our goals are not included
- Still no mention of any budget
- Looks like these areas are going to be huge

pg Heritage Rivers not PA.

if cabinet asked
for balanced view,
it's not there

- still no compensation policy

leave message w Shirley
re Dave's request

specific

resource ass; not consistent in main doc / companion
doc.

5 - 60 hr wk.

- 1 - 56 hr. 2 x 4/5 hr
- 2 - 60 hr 3 x 1/2 hr
- 3 - 60 hr 1 x 1/2 hr + 1 full hr - old model
- 4 - 52 hr 1 x 1/2 hr + ~~at~~ 1 35 hr.
- 5 - 60 hr 1 1/2 hr + 1 full hr.
- 6 - 60 hr. same lower pay.

coord $\frac{1}{35}$ \$18/22 20/23
 7 hr day x 5 = 35 + 770 x 41,010 41,860

admin $\boxed{7 \text{ hr} \times 4} = 28$ \$18 504 x 52 26,208 $\boxed{29,120}$
 $\frac{28}{63} \quad 63$ $\boxed{66,248}$ $\frac{70,900}{}$

coord $\boxed{7 \text{ hr} \times 4 \text{ days} \times 52}$ $\frac{\$22}{32,032}$ \$23 33,488
 $\frac{8 \text{ hr} \times 4 \text{ days} \times 52}{36,608}$ $\frac{38,272}{}$

$\frac{28}{28}$ $\frac{28}{36}$
 $\frac{56}{64}$

$\boxed{62,000}$

7 hrs.

Budget (does not include salary of current permanent staff)

1997/98

Compilation phase

- GIS needs assessment **\$15 K**
- Computer workstation, and GIS software **\$30 K**
- GIS technician **\$40 K**
- Miscellaneous items required for this phase (no labour included): **\$10 K**

Estimators Workshop

- Estimator's wages, travel costs for participants etc. **\$45K**
- Miscellaneous material for workshop **5K**

TOTAL **145 K**

1998/99

- GIS technician **\$50 K**

Synthesis of Results

- Contract to compile data **\$5 K**

Products

- Miscellaneous administrative needs **\$10 K**

TOTAL: **\$65 K**

*6march 1998
@ 10:00
K.K.*