



Natural Resources
Canada

Ressources naturelles
Canada



GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA

OPEN FILE 4479

YUKON GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

OPEN FILE 2003-10(D)

Till geochemistry of the Finlayson Lake (105G), Glenlyon (105L) and east Carmacks (115I) map areas, Yukon Territory

A. Plouffe, J.D. Bond

Canada

2003

Yukon
Energy, Mines and Resources
Énergie, Mines et Ressources

**GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA
OPEN FILE 4479**

**YUKON GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
OPEN FILE 2003-10(D)**

**Till geochemistry of the Finlayson Lake (105G), Glenlyon
(105L) and east Carmacks (115I) map areas,
Yukon Territory**

A. Plouffe, J.D. Bond

2003

©Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2003
Available from
Geological Survey of Canada
601 Booth Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0E8

Plouffe, A., Bond, J.D.

2003: Till geochemistry of the Finlayson Lake (105G), Glenlyon (105L) and east Carmacks (115I) map areas, Yukon Territory, Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 4479, Yukon Geological Survey Open File, 2003-10(D), CD-ROM.

Open files are products that have not gone through the GSC formal publication process.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | 4 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 4 |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | 4 |
| ABSTRACT..... | 5 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 5 |
| BEDROCK GEOLOGY AND MINERAL POTENTIAL..... | 6 |
| PHYSIOGRAPHY AND QUATERNARY GEOLOGY | 7 |
| FIELD AND LABORATORY METHODS..... | 8 |
| QUALITY CONTROL..... | 10 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... | 13 |
| REFERENCES | 13 |

List of figures

Note: Figures are in portable document format (.pdf) and are located on the CD-ROM in the Figures folder.

1. Location of Finlayson Lake and Glenlyon map areas in southern Yukon.
2. Regional ice-flow patterns and major mineral deposits in the northern Finlayson Lake map area (NTS 105G). Ice-flow patterns simplified from Jackson (1994). Figure modified from Bond and Plouffe (2002).
3. Regional ice-flow patterns and major mineral deposits of the Glenlyon (105L) and eastern Carmacks (115I) map areas. Ice flow patterns simplified from Ward and Jackson (2000) and Jackson (2000).

List of tables:

Note: Tables are included in this document.

1. Upper (UDL) and lower (LDL) detection limits of the elements analysed by ICP-MS.
2. Analytical precision expressed as the % relative standard deviation (RSD).

List of appendices :

Note: Appendices are in .xls and .txt format and are located on the CD-ROM in the Data folder.

1. Geochemical results and sample description.
2. Analytical precision: field and laboratory duplicates of the clay-sized fraction.
3. Analytical precision: field and laboratory duplicates of the silt- and clay-sized fractions.
4. Analytical accuracy: control standards

Abstract

This open file contains a compilation of the till geochemistry data for two regions of southern Yukon: 1) Finlayson Lake (105G) and, 2) Glenlyon (105L) and east Carmacks (115I) map areas. Till sampling was conducted during the 2001 and 2002 field seasons as part of the Yukon Targeted Geoscience Initiative (TGI), a joint program involving the Yukon Geological Survey and Geological Survey of Canada. Geochemical analyses have been conducted on the clay- (<0.002 mm) and silt- and clay- (<0.063 mm, -230 mesh) sized fractions by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) following an hydrochloric-nitric acids, and demineralized water digestion (HCl:HNO₃:H₂O). Given the occurrence of emerald (a gem variety of beryl) at Regald Ridge in the Finlayson Lake map area, beryllium analyses were conducted on the silt- and clay-sized fraction by ICP-MS following a lithium metaborate (LiBO₂) fusion.

Introduction

The discovery of volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits in the middle 1990's (Schultze, 1996; Tucker et al., 1997) and an emerald occurrence in 1998 (Groat et al., 2002; Neufeld et al., 2003), both in the Yukon-Tanana Terrane (YTT) of southeastern Yukon, has demonstrated the potential of this terrane to host such mineralization (Hunt, 1997; 2002). Following the massive sulphide discoveries, bedrock mapping projects were implemented by the Yukon Geological Survey (formerly the Yukon Geology Program) in the Finlayson Lake map area (NTS 105G) to evaluate the stratigraphic setting of the mineralization and to establish the relationship between YTT and adjacent terranes (Murphy, 1997; 1998; Murphy and Piercey, 1999a; 1999b). Similarly, mapping commenced in the Glenlyon map area (NTS 105L) to the northwest (Colpron, 1999a; 1999b) because restitution of 450 km of dextral strike slip along Tintina Fault places the Glenlyon map area on structural trend to the south of the Finlayson Lake district (Colpron et al., 2003a).

To complement the regional bedrock investigations a till geochemical survey was initiated in 2000 in the northwest sector of the Finlayson Lake map area (Bond, 2001a, b), as a method to evaluate mineral potential of a poorly exposed sector of YTT, and supplement the regional stream geochemical database (Hornbrook and Friske, 1988; 1989).

Following these focused surveys led by the Yukon Geological Survey, bedrock mapping and a regional till sampling program were jointly implemented by the Yukon Geological Survey and the Geological Survey of Canada as part of the Yukon Targeted Geoscience Initiative (TGI). The purpose of this new project was to evaluate the mineral potential, to identify mineral exploration targets, and to stimulate mineral exploration within the YTT in southern Yukon. Field work was completed in the northern Finlayson Lake map area (NTS 105G) during the 2001 field season, and in the Glenlyon (NTS 105L) and eastern Carmacks (NTS 115I) map areas in 2002 (Fig. 1).

The purpose of this open file is to publicly release the results of the multi-element geochemical analyses on the clay-sized fraction, and the beryllium analyses on the silt- and clay-sized fraction of the till samples collected during the 2001 and 2002 field seasons (Appendix 1). The field notes describing the samples and the sample sites are also included in this open file (Appendix 1). To provide regional till data completeness and a better product for end-users, geochemical results of the silt- and clay-sized fraction of the samples collected in 2000 (Bond, 2001b), 2001 (Bond et al., 2002), and 2002 (Colpron et al., 2003b) are also included in this open file. Interpretation of the new till geochemistry data presented in this open file will be provided in an article to be published in Yukon Exploration and Geology 2003.

Bedrock geology and mineral potential

The YTT consists of polydeformed Devonian to Permian metasedimentary, metaigneous and metavolcanic rocks which were accreted to North America during Late Paleozoic time (Murphy et al., 2003). In the Finlayson Lake region, YTT is thrust over the North American miogeocline which consists of slightly metamorphosed, Silurian to Triassic sedimentary rocks (Murphy et al., 2002). The major volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits in the Finlayson Lake district are

hosted in Late Devonian to Middle Mississippian (Fyre Lake, Kudzu Kayah, GP4F and Wolverine) and Early Permian (Ice) metavolcanic rocks of the YTT (Murphy et al., 2002) (Fig. 2). In the southern sector of the Finlayson Lake map area, at Regald Ridge, emerald crystals are found in association with quartz-tourmaline veins in mafic metavolcanic rocks near the contact zone of a mid-Cretaceous granite (Groat et al., 2002; Murphy et al., 2002; Neufeld et al., 2003). In addition, the Finlayson Lake district has potential for sedimentary-exhalative and epithermal mineralization (Murphy et al., 2002).

In the Glenlyon map area, the YTT is in fault contact to the northeast with rocks of the North American miogeocline and to the southwest with the Semenov block (mafic metavolcanic rocks). Known mineral occurrences within the Glenlyon map area include volcanic-hosted massive sulphide, fault-related epithermal gold, intrusion-related and sedimentary-exhalative mineralization (Colpron et al., 2003a).

Details on the bedrock geology component of the project are presented in Murphy et al. (2001), Bond et al. (2002) and Colpron et al. (2002; 2003b; 2003a).

Physiography and Quaternary geology

The Finlayson Lake map area is dominantly part of the Yukon Plateau which is a region of low relief with isolated plateaus. Within this map area, the Yukon Plateau is flanked to the south by the Tintina Trench and the Pelly Mountains, and to the east by the Selwyn Mountains. The Finlayson Lake map area was completely glaciated during the Late Wisconsinan McConnell Glaciation. During this glaciation, ice was generally flowing to the northwest from an ice-divide located over the Wolverine Lake region (Prest et al., 1967; Dyke, 1990; Jackson, 1994). To the southeast of Wolverine Lake, ice flow was generally to the southeast. Within the map area, ice flow was deflected around topographic obstacles (Fig. 2). For a more detail account of the ice-flow history and the Quaternary stratigraphy of the Finlayson Lake region the reader is referred to Jackson (1994) and Bond and Plouffe (2002).

The Glenlyon and eastern Carmacks map areas are dominantly part of the Yukon Plateau which is flanked by the Pelly Mountains to the east, and the Tintina Trench and MacMillan Highland to

the northeast. The Cassiar and the Selwyn lobes of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet coalesced in the southwestern sector of the Glenlyon map area during the McConnell Glaciation (Ward and Jackson, 2000). Ice flow was generally to the northwest with source areas to the east and southeast in the Selwyn and Cassiar mountains (Fig. 3). At glacial maximum, glaciers were not thick enough to cover the highest summits and were not extensive enough to reach the western sector of the region. Therefore, ice-flow patterns were highly influenced by topography (Fig. 3). Ward and Jackson (2000), Jackson (2000), and Bond and Plouffe (2003) present a more detailed account of the ice-flow history and the Quaternary stratigraphy of the Glenlyon and eastern Carmacks map areas.

Field and laboratory methods

Prior to each field season, high mineral potential areas were defined based on existing bedrock geology and geophysical maps, known mineral occurrences, and regional stream sediment geochemistry. High mineral potential areas were also defined by the bedrock mappers during the field seasons. Till sampling was focused in high mineral potential areas located on plateaus overlain by a till veneer or a till blanket. Till sampling was not conducted in the larger valleys because thick accumulations of glaciofluvial sand and gravel and sporadic glacial lake sediments overlie till. The planning stage was greatly facilitated with the use of existing surficial geology maps (Jackson, 1994; 2000; Ward and Jackson, 2000) which illustrate the nature of the surficial sediments, the landforms and the ice limits. Foot traverses were planned at the base camps following air photo interpretation. Traverse lines were oriented perpendicular to ice-flow direction to provide the greatest cover of the concealed bedrock. Sample spacing along traverse lines averages 1 km. Detailed sampling (sample spacing: 50-250 m) was completed at the

Kudz Ze Kayah volcanogenic massive sulphide deposit in the Finlayson Lake map area (Fig. 2), and the Clear Lake sedimentary exhalative deposit (SEDEX) in the Glenlyon map area (Fig. 3).

All the sampling was conducted on foot traverses except along the Robert Campbell Highway and the access road to the Kudz Ze Kayah deposit. Samples were collected in hand-dug pits in

unweathered till at a depth averaging 60 cm and consisted of 2.5 kg of bulk till and a separate bag of 50 pebbles. Sample sizes were limited because of backpack weight restrictions associated with foot traverses. As a result, large samples required for heavy mineral analysis could not be collected. Locally, permafrost and loess deposits were a hindrance to sampling. Site and sample descriptions were recorded and digitized in the field using a hand-held computer.

Pebble counts were completed in the field for a limited number of samples. However, the fine-grained nature of several bedrock units along with the difficulty of identifying lithological units in pebbles somewhat limited the use of till lithologies for glacial dispersal study. Pebble samples are stored in Whitehorse for future reference.

Geochemical analyses were conducted on two separate size fractions: silt and clay (>230 mesh or <0.063 mm) and clay (<0.002 mm). Bulk samples were dried at 40°C and then dry-sieved to separate the silt- and clay-sized fraction at Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd. in Vancouver. The clay-sized fraction was separated at the Sedimentology Laboratory of the Geological Survey of Canada following procedures outlined in Lindsay and Shilts (1995). Phosphorus and sodium contamination likely occur during the clay separation because sodium metaphosphate is used as a defloculant during the process. Therefore, phosphorus and sodium concentrations herein reported for the clay-sized fraction are doubtful. Geochemical analyses were conducted for 40 elements by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) after hydrochloric-nitric acids, and demineralized water digestion (HCl:HNO₃:H₂O) (Table 1). Geochemical analyses were not conducted on the clay-sized fraction of the till samples collected in 2000. Analyses were done with 15 to 30 g of silt and clay, and 1 to 10 g of clay material.

Given the high potential for emerald (a gem variety of beryl), additional beryllium analyses, funded by the Yukon Geological Survey, were conducted on the silt- and clay-sized fraction of the till samples from the Finlayson Lake and Glenlyon map areas. Samples collected in 2000 in the Weasel Lake region were not analysed for beryllium. Beryllium analyses were conducted by ICP-MS following a lithium metaborate (LiBO₂) fusion. Fusion was selected for Be analyses because it is most effective in destroying silicates such as beryl.

Table 1. Upper (UDL) and lower (LDL) detection limits of the elements analysed by ICP-MS.

| Element | unit | UDL | LDL | Element | unit | UDL | LDL |
|-----------------|------|--------|------|---------|------|--------|-------|
| Ag | ppb | 100 | 2 | Na | % | 10 | 0.001 |
| Al | % | 10 | 0.01 | Ni | ppm | 10 000 | 0.1 |
| As | ppm | 10 000 | 0.1 | Os | ppb | 500 | 1 |
| Au | ppb | 100 | 0.2 | P | % | 5 | 0.001 |
| B | ppm | 2000 | 1 | Pb | ppm | 10 000 | 0.01 |
| Ba | ppm | 10 000 | 0.5 | Pd | ppb | 1000 | 10 |
| Be ₁ | ppm | 1000 | 1 | Pt | ppb | 1000 | 2 |
| Bi | ppm | 2000 | 0.02 | S | % | 10 | 0.02 |
| Ca | % | 40 | 0.01 | Sb | ppm | 2000 | 0.02 |
| Cd | ppm | 2000 | 0.01 | Sc | ppm | 100 | 0.1 |
| Co | ppm | 2000 | 0.1 | Se | ppm | 100 | 0.1 |
| Cr | ppm | 10 000 | 0.5 | Sr | ppm | 10 000 | 0.5 |
| Cu | ppm | 10 000 | 0.01 | Te | ppm | 100 | 0.02 |
| Fe | % | 40 | 0.01 | Th | ppm | 2000 | 0.1 |
| Ga | ppm | 100 | 0.02 | Ti | % | 10 | 0.001 |
| Hg | ppb | 100 | 5 | Tl | ppm | 100 | 0.02 |
| K | % | 10 | 0.01 | U | ppm | 2000 | 0.1 |
| La | ppm | 10 000 | 0.5 | V | ppm | 10 000 | 2 |
| Mg | % | 30 | 0.01 | W | ppm | 100 | 0.2 |
| Mn | ppm | 10 000 | 1 | Zn | ppm | 10 000 | 0.1 |
| Mo | ppm | 2000 | 0.01 | | | | |

Os analyses conducted on samples of the Glenlyon region only (2002 samples).

1 Be analyses conducted following a lithium metaborate fusion.

Quality control

Laboratory and field duplicates were randomly inserted in the sample suite to evaluate the combined analytical and sampling precision. At least one duplicate sample was added for every 10 samples. Results for the analytical precision testing are presented in Appendix 2 for the clay-sized fraction, and in Appendix 3 for the silt- and clay-sized fraction. In Appendices 2 and 3, the type of duplicate sample (field or laboratory) can be recognized from the sample number: laboratory duplicates contain the prefix “RE” or a sample number with the letter code “IG”, and field duplicates have the same sample number as the original sample, except for one digit. No notable difference was noted between the analytical and sampling precisions compiled separately.

Table 2 presents the combined analytical and sampling precision reported as the relative standard deviation (%) at the 95% confidence level for the surveys conducted in 2001 and 2002, and for both size fractions. Analytical precision was calculated following an approach modified from Garrett (1969). First, the total average was determined:

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum x_{1i} + \sum x_{2i}}{2N}$$

where X_{1i} is the sample analysis result

X_{2i} is the duplicate sample analysis result

N is the number of duplicate pairs.

Then the analytical variance was computed:

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{2N} \sum (X_{1i} - X_{2i})^2$$

where A^2 is the analytical variance

X_{1i} is the sample analysis result

X_{2i} is the duplicate sample analysis result

N is the number of duplicate pairs

Finally, the relative standard deviation was calculated with:

$$\text{RSD(95\% Confidence level)} = \frac{A \times 100\%}{\bar{X}_{av}}$$

where \bar{X}_{av} is the total average of all replicate results.

For most elements, the precision is better than $\pm 20\%$ and is considered adequate. The precision is worse for some elements for which the measured concentrations are near detection limit (e.g., beryllium, boron, palladium, platinum, sulphur and tungsten). For gold and tellurium, the precision is better for the clay-sized fraction than for the silt- and clay-sized fraction which is thought to reflect the heterogeneous distribution of the element in the coarser sample medium; in

the case of gold, it is well known as the nugget effect. The cause of the poorer precision of boron analyses in the clay-sized fraction compared to the silt and clay-sized fraction is still unclear. For calcium, molybdenum, antimony, selenium and uranium the worse precision for the 2001 compared to the 2002 survey is attributed only to a few samples with poor reproducibility, which could be related to sample heterogeneity. Finally, in the case of titanium, analytical precision varies amongst surveys and size fractions which could be indicative of analytical inconsistency.

Table 2. Analytical precision expressed as the % relative standard deviation (RSD).

| Element | 2001-Finlayson | | 2002-Glenlyon | | Element | 2001-Finlayson | | 2002-Glenlyon | |
|---------|----------------|------|---------------|------|---------|----------------|------|---------------|------|
| | silt+clay | clay | silt+clay | clay | | silt+clay | clay | silt+clay | clay |
| Ag | 26 | 10 | 8 | 9 | Na | 14 | 14 | 11 | 13 |
| Al | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | Ni | 9 | 6 | 9 | 5 |
| As | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | Os | -- | -- | 32 | 48 |
| Au | 118 | 24 | 49 | 15 | P | 10 | 12 | 4 | 12 |
| B | 21 | 71 | 36 | 51 | Pb | 10 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Ba | 9 | 10 | 7 | 9 | Pd | 0 | 32 | 0 | 31 |
| Be | 90 | -- | 97 | -- | Pt | 10 | 55 | 20 | 32 |
| Bi | 8 | 10 | 7 | 5 | S | 25 | 30 | 46 | 59 |
| Ca | 27 | 10 | 4 | 4 | Sb | 21 | 27 | 7 | 6 |
| Cd | 11 | 9 | 9 | 8 | Sc | 11 | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| Co | 10 | 7 | 8 | 6 | Se | 28 | 34 | 16 | 11 |
| Cr | 7 | 6 | 10 | 4 | Sr | 16 | 8 | 5 | 8 |
| Cu | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | Te | 31 | 14 | 44 | 17 |
| Fe | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | Th | 10 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| Ga | 8 | 6 | 6 | 3 | Ti | 30 | 18 | 6 | 22 |
| Hg | 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 | Tl | 8 | 10 | 4 | 5 |
| K | 13 | 9 | 6 | 7 | U | 21 | 11 | 8 | 4 |
| La | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 | V | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| Mg | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | W | 15 | 15 | 17 | 25 |
| Mn | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | Zn | 8 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Mo | 21 | 11 | 6 | 6 | | | | | |

The analytical accuracy was monitored with control standards. Six different standards were used: Till-1, Till-2 and Till-4 were obtained from the Canada Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology (CANMET), TCA-8010 is a GSC in-house standard and, DS3 and DS4 were provided by the analytical laboratory. Standard DS3 and DS4 were certified against the CANMET standards Till-4, LKSD-4 and STSD-1. At least one control standard was added for every 20 samples. Analytical results of the control standards are presented in Appendix 4.

Very few questionable results were obtained with the standard samples including elements for which the precision was found to be low and elements at concentrations near detection limits. Consequently, the analytical accuracy is judged satisfactory.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded under the Targeted Geoscience Initiative of the Federal Government of Canada. None of this work would have been possible without the capable field assistance of Guy Buller, Robby Cashin, Louis Robertson and Patrick Sack who had to endure challenging field conditions. Guy Buller is also acknowledged for developing field forms for the hand held computers which greatly facilitated field data entry. I. Girard supervised clay-sized separation in the Sedimentology Laboratory at the Geological Survey of Canada and R. Laframboise developed a QA/QC module that greatly facilitated the handling of field and laboratory duplicates and the calculation of the precision. Leadership roles at various time by M. Colpron, S. Gordey and D. Murphy were crucial to the success of this project.

References

Bond, J. D., 2001a. Quaternary geology and till geochemistry of the Anvil district (parts of 105K/2, 3, 5, 6 and 7), central Yukon Territory. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Bulletin 11, 38 p.

Bond, J. D., 2001b. Surficial geology and till geochemistry of Weasel Lake map area (105G/13), east-central Yukon. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 2000, D. Emond and L. Weston (eds.), Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 73-96.

Bond, J. D. and Plouffe, A., 2002. Finlayson Lake Targeted Geoscience Initiative (southeastern Yukon), Part 2: Quaternary geology and till geochemistry; in Yukon Exploration and Geology 2001, (eds.) D. S. Emond, L. H. Weston and L. L. Lewis; Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 209-240.

Bond, J. D. and Plouffe, A., 2003. Yukon Targeted Geoscience Initiative, Part 2: Glacial history, till geochemistry and new mineral exploration targets in Glenlyon and eastern Carmacks map areas, central Yukon. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 2002, D. S. Emond and L. L.

Lewis (eds.), Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 109-134.

Bond, J. D., Murphy, D. C., Colpron, M., Gordey, S. P., Plouffe, A., Roots, C. F., Lipovsky, P. S., Stronghill, G. and Abbott, J. G., 2002. Digital compilation of bedrock geology and till geochemistry, northern Finlayson lake map area, southeastern Yukon (105G). Geological Survey of Canada and Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, GSC Open File 4243 and EGSD Open File 2002-7D, CD-ROM.

Colpron, M., 1999a. Glenlyon Project: Preliminary stratigraphy and structure of Yukon-Tanana Terrane, Little Kalzas Lake area, central Yukon (105/L3). *In* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, C. F. Roots and D. S. Emond (eds.), Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 63-71.

Colpron, M., 1999b. A new mineral occurrence in Yukon-Tanana Terrane near Little Salmon Lake (105L/2), central Yukon. *In* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, C. F. Roots and D. S. Emond (eds.), Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 255-258.

Colpron, M., Murphy, D. C., Nelson, J. L., Roots, C. F., Gladwin, K., Gordey, S. P. and Abbott, G. J., 2003a. Yukon Targeted Geoscience Initiative, Part 1: Results of accelerated bedrock mapping in Glenlyon (105L/1-7, 11-14) and northeast Carmacks (115I/9,16) areas, central Yukon. *In* Yukon Exploration and Geology 2002, D. S. Emond and L. L. Lewis (eds.), Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 85-108.

Colpron, M., Murphy, D. C., Nelson, J. L., Roots, C. F., Gladwin, K., Gordey, S. P., Abbott, G. and Lipovsky, P. S., 2002. Preliminary geological map of Glenlyon (105L/1-7, 11-14) and northeast Carmacks (115I/9, 16) areas, Yukon Territory. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada; and Geological Survey of Canada, GSC Open File 1457 and EGSD Open file 2002-9, map scale 1:125 000.

Colpron, M., Bond, J. D., Lipovsky, P. S., Gladwin, K., Gordey, S. P., Murphy, D. C., Nelson, J. L., Plouffe, A., Roots, C. F. and Abbott, J. G., 2003b. Digital compilation of bedrock geology and till geochemistry, Glenlyon (105L) and eastern Carmacks (115I) map areas, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada and Exploration and Geological Services Division, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, GSC Open File 1561 and EGSD Open File 2003-7D, CD-ROM.

Dyke, A. S., 1990. Quaternary geology of the Frances Lake map area, Yukon and Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 426, 39 p.

Garrett, R. G., 1969. The determination of sampling and analytical errors in exploration geochemistry; *Economic Geology*, vol. 64, p. 568-574.

Groat, L. A., Marshall, D. D., Giuliani, G., Murphy, D. C., Piercey, S. J., Jambor, J. L., Mortensen, J. K., Ercit, T. S., Gault, R. A., Matthey, D. P., Schwarz, D., Maluski, H., Wise, M. A., Wengzynowski, W. and Eaton, D. W., 2002. Mineralogical and geochemical study of the Regal Ridge emerald showing, southeastern Yukon. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, vol. 40, p. 1313-1338.

Hornbrook, E. H. W. and Friske, P. W. B., 1988. Regional stream and water geochemical reconnaissance data, southeastern Yukon. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 1648, 70 p.

Hornbrook, E. H. W. and Friske, P. W. B., 1989. Regional Stream Sediment and Water Geochemical Reconnaissance Data, Yukon Territory (105K [W1/2], 105L). Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 1961, 110 p.

Hunt, J. A., 1997. Massive sulphide deposits in the Yukon-Tanana and adjacent terranes. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 35-45.

Hunt, J. A., 2002. Volcanic-associated massive sulphide (VMS) mineralization in the Yukon-Tanana Terrane and coeval strata of the North American miogeocline, in the Yukon and adjacent areas. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Bulletin 12, 107 p.

Jackson, L. E. J., 1994. Terrain inventory and Quaternary history of the Pelly River area, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 437, 41 p.

Jackson, L. E. J., 2000: Quaternary geology of the Carmacks map area, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 539, 74 p.

Lindsay, P. J. and Shilts, W. W., 1995. A standard laboratory procedure for separating clay-sized detritus from unconsolidated glacial sediments and their derivatives. In Drift Exploration in the Canadian Cordillera, P. T. Bobrowsky, S. J. Sibbick, J. M. Newell and P. F. Matysek (eds.). British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Paper 1995-2, p. 165-166.

Murphy, D. C., 1997. Preliminary geological map of Grass Lakes area, Pelly Mountains southeastern Yukon (105G/7). Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Open File 1997-3, 1:50 000 scale.

Murphy, D. C., 1998. Stratigraphic framework for syngenetic mineral occurrences, Yukon-Tanana Terrane south of Finlayson Lake: A progress report. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 1997, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 51-58.

Murphy, D. C. and Piercey, S. J., 1999a. Finlayson project: geological evolution of Yukon-Tanana Terrane and its relationship to Campbell Range belt, northern Wolverine Lake map area, southeastern Yukon. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 47-62.

Murphy, D. C. and Piercey, S. J., 1999b. Syn-mineralization faults and their re-activation Finlayson Lake massive sulphide district, Yukon-Tanana Terrane, southeastern Yukon. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 1999, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 55-66.

Murphy, D. C., Piercey, S. J., Mortensen, J. K. and Orchard, M. J., 2003. Mid- to Late Paleozoic tectonostratigraphic evolution of Yukon-Tanana Terrane, Finlayson Lake massive sulphide district, southeastern Yukon. Geological Association of Canada, Mineralogical Association of Canada, Society of Economic Geologists Joint Annual meeting, Vancouver, B.C., Abstract volume 28 (CD ROM), p. Abstract 212.

Murphy, D. C., Colpron, M., Roots, C. F., Gordey, S. P. and Abbott, J. G. 2002. Finlayson Lake Targeted Geoscience Initiative (southeastern Yukon), Part 1: Bedrock geology. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 2001, D. S. Emond, L. H. Weston and L. L. Lewis (eds.); Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 189-207.

Murphy, D. C., Colpron, M., Gordey, S. P., Roots, C. F., Abbott, G. and Lipovsky, P. S., 2001. Preliminary bedrock geological map of northern Finlayson Lake area (NTS 105G), Yukon Territory. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Open File 2001-33, 1:100 000 scale.

Neufeld, H. L. D., Groat, L. A. and Mortensen, J. K., 2003. Preliminary investigations of emerald mineralization in the Regald Ridge area, Finlayson Lake district, southeastern Yukon. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 2002, D. S. Emond and L. L. Lewis (eds.); Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 281-284.

Prest, V. K., Grant, D. R. and Rampton, V. N., 1967. Glacial map of Canada; Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1253A, 1:5 000 000 scale.

Schultze, H. C., 1996. Summary of the Kudzu Ze Kayah project, volcanic-hosted massive sulphide deposit, Yukon Territory. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 1995, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 29-32.

Tucker, T. L., Turner, A. J., Terry, D. A. and Bradshaw, G. D., 1997. Wolverine massive sulphide project, Yukon. In Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, p. 53-55.

Ward, B. C. and Jackson, L. E. J., 2000. Surficial geology of the Glenlyon map area, Yukon Territory. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 559, 60 p.