

33
33

EXAMINATION REPORT

BONANZA

Claims 28 to 16 incl.

Below Discovery

DHerry 1960

WIDE WORLD
M'S & P' KEGENI FINEN

Dawson, Y. T.

July 28, 1910.

Mr. C. A. Thomas,
Resident Manager.

Sir:-

Herewith I hand you a report on the examination of Creek Claims 16 to 28 inclusive below Discovery on Bonanza Creek. At your request I have obtained data from as many sources as possible regarding values (past and present), and the degree to which this ground was worked. I then fixed an average value per sq. ft. of bedrock for all the ground to be dredged, having laid out said dredging course in purple pencil on the accompanying examination map, scales 100' - 1". The green areas on the map show approximate location of tailings; the brown shows those areas considered by Morris as worked out; while the yellow indicates the presence of pay considered worth while by Morris.

Sources of Information Mr. Geo. T. Coffey went over this proposition with me claim by claim, and made an estimate of value for the claims such as he would make were he buying them for himself, he said. He several times emphasized the opinion that he was making a conservative estimate.

I went over the work thoroughly with Ned Simpson, claim by claim, and spent three hours discussing the ground. He went to the other extreme from Coffey, and was absolutely certain that we could not go wrong, in working this ground, and was absolutely sure that any of the ground, drifted as it was, is better than anything our dredges have found yet. Mr. Mulvain expressed the same sentiment, saying the ground was better than that around the 80's and the mouth of Lovett. Mr. Mulvain took a very fair view of the matter but felt sure we could not lose in dredging this ground and would make something, if not a great deal.

The general concensus of opinion seems to be that the drifted claims are not worked out when it comes to considering dredging them, but will yield good returns. Simpson has demonstrated this repeatedly, and Mulvain is also convinced of the truth of the matter.

I took full notes on all that these men had to say, as well as what I could learn from observation and inquiry, when I went over the ground in person. Accompanying this report is an account for each claim, showing opinions and data complete.

Outline of Work After I had secured and tabulated as much information as possible, I laid out the dredgeable area on the map, and measured the yardage for each claim with a planimeter. My probable dredge area coincided very closely with that suggested by Mr. Coffey until I reached the benches or flats on Claims 18, 17 and 16. Mr. Coffey suggested skipping these entirely, while Mulvain and Simpson represent these unworked flats as some of the most desirable ground. These flats are responsible almost entirely for my difference with Coffey's figures, and for this reason it would seem worth while drilling this area to see if it be worth while. Any drilling on drifted areas on the other hand would, I believe, be out of the question, as the number of holes it would necessitate to insure us against error in striking drifts or pillars would make the drilling cost prohibitive.

By reference to the individual reports by claims, you will see in each case why I took the course I did.

My agreement with Coffey's quick estimate was all right until I widened out to include these benches on the right limit, while Coffey took a narrow strip through the middle of the claim. Both Mulvain and Simpson have figured at various times on working these very benches that Coffey skips.

My disagreement with Morris is readily explained by the fact that he skips 7 out of the 14 claims as being absolutely worked out. Neither Coffey, Simpson or Mulvain believes this to be the case; in fact Simpson says he wants nothing better than drifted ground on this part of Bonanza to dredge.

The depths were all taken from Morris' report.

The working costs were estimated from 1909 data, governed by conditions on each claim.

Incidental

On the individual report for claim 25 will be found remarks concerning the difficulties which will be presented by the Bonanza Pipe Trestle. The loss of water on the hills above Claim 25 will be serious unless it can be used on Jackson Gulch etc.

The tailings on Claims 25, 18 and 19 are a menace but will not render the dredging impossible at all.

Conclusion

In summing up I would say that the general opinion seems to be that the proposition is a very favorable one, yet one which cannot be determined with any degree of accuracy till it is tried.

Yours respectfully,

(Signed) D. H. Ferry.

EXAMINATION REPORT ON CLAIMS 28

CLAIM	Plan Reading	Sq. In.	Dredgeable		Dredg ^b le		Sq.Ft. of Value		Value		GROSS VALUE			Total Average Dept
			Sq. By Planim.	D.H.F.	Sq.Ft.	-	Incl. Tlgs.	per Sq.Ft.	Value per Sq.Ft.	per Sq.Ft.	D.H.F.	Coffey	Morris	
28	5306 4437 3571	869 866	8.68	86800	70200	30000	50¢	40¢	100¢	\$ 43400	\$ 28000	\$30000	19'	
27	7412 6850 6289	563 561	5.62	56200	57900		40	40	nil	22480	20000	nil	19	
26	0627 0035 9446	592 589	5.90	59000			20	nil	nil	11800	nil	nil	20	
25	3420 2926 2421 1925	494 505 496	4.95	49500	47800		30	31	nil	14850	15000	nil	35	
24	7409 6930 6936 6452	479 484	4.82	48200	50700		40	40	nil	19280	20000	nil	27	
23	1437 9300 9176 8040	1137	11.36	113600	110000	40000	50	41	150	56800	45000	60000	24	
22	4220 3271 2320	949 951 95	9.50	95000	68400	107000	60	51	50	57000	35000	53500	24	
21	8208 7344 6479	864 865	8.64	86400	90800		50	50	nil	43200	45000	nil	24	
20A	8717 8519 8323	198 196	1.97	19700	22200		65	68	nil	12800	15000	nil	24	
20	3485 2662 1837	823 825	8.24	82400	75000		60	53 ⁴	nil	49440	40000	nil	24	
19	5771 5155 4540	615 616	6.16	61600	50000	50000	75	75	75	46200	37500	37500	22	
18	1679 0815 9955	864 860	8.62	86200	37900	70000	70	66	87 ²	60340	25000	61000	21	

ION REPORT ON CLAIMS 28 to 16 INCL. BELOW BONANZA

D.H.Ferry
July 28, 1910

Value per SqFt Coffey	Value per SqFt Morris	GROSS VALUE			Total Average Depth	CU.YDS.	Value per cu.yd.		Working Cost Per cu.yd.		TOTAL WORKING COST			* LOSS OR GAIN		
		D.H.F.	Coffey	Morris			D.H.F.	Morris	D.H.F.	Coffey	Morris	D.H.F.	Coffey	Morris		
100¢	100¢	\$ 43400	\$ 28000	\$30000	19'	M-21000 F-61100 C-49400	71¢	142¢	35¢	35¢	\$ 21400	\$ 17300	\$ 7500	\$ 22000	\$ 10700	\$ 22500
nil	nil	22480	20000	nil	19	F-39500 C-40700 M-39500	57	nil	30		11850	12200	11850	10630	7800	* 11850
nil	nil	11800	nil	nil	20	F-43700 C-43700 M-43700	27	nil	30		14110	14110	14110	*2300	* 14110	* 14110
nil	nil	14850	15000	nil	35	F-64200 C-62000 M-64200	23 ²	nil	45		28900	27900	28900	* 14050	* 12900	* 28900 *Loss shown thus
nil	nil	19280	20000	nil	27	F-48200 C-50700 M-48200	40	nil	40		19280	20300	19280		* 300	* 19280
150	150	56800	45000	60000	24	F-101000 C-97700 M-135500	56 ²	169	40	35	40400	39100	12500	16400	5900	47500
50	50	57000	35000	53500	24	F-84500 C-60800 M-95000	67 ⁵	56 ²	30	35	25350	18250	33000	31650	16750	20500
nil	nil	43200	45000	nil	24	F-76800 C-80700 M-76800	56 ³	nil	30		23040	24200	23040	20160	20800	* 23040
nil	nil	12800	15000	nil	24	F-17500 C-19700 M-17500	73 ²	nil	30		5250	5910	5250	7550	9090	* 5250
nil	nil	49440	40000	nil	24	F-73200 C-66700 M-73200	67 ⁵	nil	30		21960	20000	21960	27480	20000	* 21960
75	75	46200	37500	37500	22	F-50200 C-40700 M-41000	92	92	35	30	17570	14230	12500	28630	23270	25000
87 ²	87 ²	60340	25000	61000	21	F-67100 C-29500	90	112	45	30	30200	8850	16000	30140	16150	45000

	5771	615																	
	5155	616																	
19	4540		6.16	61600	50000	50000	75	75	75	46200	37500	37500	22						
	1679	864																	
	0815	860																	
18	9955		8.62	86200	37900	70000	70	66	87 ²	60340	25000	61000	21						
	6599	2845																	
	3755																		
	0915																		
	0925																		
17	8078	2847	28.45	284500	128000	190000	60	50	50	170700	64000	95000	20						
	7486																		
	4902	2584																	
	6053																		
16	3468	2585	25.85	258500	116500	173000	60	43	55	155100	50000	95000	21						

Horne

1387600 925400 660000

Avg. of) \$763390 439500 432000
 Mulvain's)
 Estimates) \$763180

NOTES:

Where Coffey or Morris gave no yardage for supposedly worked-out claims, my planimeter yardages were dependent upon me.

Where Coffey or Morris gave no ~~xxxx~~ working costs per cu yd., my estimated costs were used for them, upon me.

My main disagreement with Coffey's figures was caused by his skipping entirely the unworked flat on
 " " " " Morris' " " " " " " " " " " 7 out of the 14 claims
 " striking agreement " Mulvain's " " absolutely independent of all my other work, and I me
 last minute when I found how I differed with Coffey. Mulvain's estimate was couched as follows: "
 square foot, while a very conservative estimate would be @ 50¢." I therefore multiplied my total
 gave \$763,180 Gross Value, against \$763,390 Gross Value, figured claim by claim.

If a 5-ft. dredge were started on lower boundary of 28, assuming the seasonal yardage of 3
 take about 3 seasons to dig from 28 through Horne's claim 16.

75	75	46200	37500	37500	22	F-50200 C-40700 M-41000	92	92	35	30	17570	14230	12500	28650	23270	25000
66	87 ²	60340	25000	61000	21	F-67100 C-29500 M-54500	90	112	45	30	30200	8850	16000	30140	16150	45000
50	50	170700	64000	95000	20	F-211000 C-94800 M-141000	80 ⁸	67 ⁵	40	30	84400	28450	42000	86300	35550	53000
43	55	155100	50000	95000	21	F-201000 C-90500 M-135000	77 ²	79 ⁷	30	30	60300	27200	40000	94800	22800	55000
Avg. of Mulvain's Estimates		\$763390	439500	432000		F-1139000 C- 827600 M- 986100					404010	278000	287890	\$ 359380	\$ 161500	\$ 144110

worked-out claims, my planimeter yardages were used for them, which makes their totals (except value totals) dependent on cu yd., my estimated costs were used for them, which makes their totals (except value totals) dependent

by his skipping entirely the unworked flat on claims 16, 17 & 18, which all others recommend
 " " " " 7 out of the 14 claims considered " " " "
 tely independent of all my other work, and I merely figured out his estimate as a general check at the
 Mulvain's estimate was couched as follows: "This whole block of claims will easily average 60¢ to the
 be @ 50¢." I therefore multiplied my total area of 1,387,600 sq. ft. by 55¢ as an average, which
 ue, figured claim by claim.

dary of 28, assuming the seasonal yardage of 375000 dug by dredge #1 in 1909 (approx.) it would
 's claim 16.

General The general concensus of opinion seems to be that this is a very fair proposition.

Several points are worthy of note which do not appear at first sight. While Morris considered many of these claims worked out, they will probably yield surprisingly to a dredge. This has been demonstrated in Simpson's case, where he has recovered rich values in claims that were considered the best drifted on the creek. He has also made good money in dredging old open cuts by taking a considerable depth of bedrock.

There was considerable loss in drifting, as follows: Drifters never took more than one foot of gravel, where 6 feet carry values worth while. This was all shot back with the waste, and is waiting to be dredged. Of course the pillars left in the drifting above often make the claim worth open cutting or dredging. There was at least 15%, and probably 20%, lost, of all pay that went into early sluices. Winter dumps were a source of loss; they were often shovelled in early in spring before they were entirely thawed, and more or less frozen dirt went thru the short sluices without being washed.

Several of these claims, notably 20, 20 A, 21 and 22 were always considered too poor by the early miners, and acquired a bad reputation, and were not much worked. 5 below on Bonanza had the same reputation, as no one had made good pay there, but Simpson's dredge recovered great values from it. The ground where 3 and 6 have recovered best pay was considered too poor by the early miners.

It has been impossible to get more accurate information than the opinion of men familiar with the ground. ^{Owing to the gophered condition of the ground,} The large number of holes needed to give any results from a drill examination would make it prohibitive.

COFFEY'S ESTIMATE is conservative. Based on probable dredging area through best part of claim, with values to correspond to this area.

MILVAIN gives a total average value for everything that the dredge can take of 50 cts. per sq. ft. of bedrock for the whole block of claims, tho' he is confident that 60 cts. is conservative. Milvain sums up by saying that we are taking no chance of loss whatever in dredging this

block from 28 to 16 inclusive; we are sure to make something, and probably very good. He says "This whole block as it stands today is better than the ground around 81-A."

(81-A is the richest part of Bonanza the dredges have struck yet). Mulvain also says the whole block should not average over 4 ft. deep, would probably have to take 4 ft. bedrock, would have to thaw practically everything, as it has been drifted, mostly. Mulvain considers the proposition very favorably.

SIMPSON says that this proposition is a sure thing. The claims, worked out as they are, are better than anything we have struck on lower Bonanza. Simpson says his experience has proven that both drifts and open cuts can be re-worked very profitably with a dredge on any of this territory. He has worked over old open cuts that have yielded \$3. per cu. yd. He wants nothing better than ground that has been drifted with wood fires. He says open cut operations have to leave ground worth \$3 per cu. yd. as a man can't handle over 2 yds. per day, making \$6. per day gross with costs, over \$6.00 per man. Simpson would like to work this very block of claims (move his dredge down), if he could get a five year lease, and has considered working 16 above.

MORRIS. Morris' estimate is out of date in that much of ground given by Morris as containing value is covered by tailings. Our main disagreements with Morris is that he considers drifted ground entirely worked out, while we expect good values from same.

CLAIM NO. 13

Owned by Horne. Located by -

COFFEY. Open cut at upper end since this map made. Good values taken out by Horne drifting near upper center in winter 1909. Also good values from drifting two years ago on lower center. Most of drifting done on 16 prior to 1901 with wood fires, no steam, laymen mostly.

Take strip mostly on L. L. and center and skip bench "B" on R.L. entirely: Strip 250' wide @ 43 cts. sq.yd. - \$50,000 gross.

MILVAIN. Include bench "B" on R.L. by all means. Horne took out drift there that went 75 cts. per sq. ft. of bedrock. This is just the value that Milvain figured would pay to drift this bench when he was

negotiating with Horne. If it would pay to drift, it would certainly pay to dredge. Ground shallow, not over 16' - about \$2 per yard. Milvain made a bid on this claim to get bench alone, not realizing that there were also values left in center.

SIMPSON Good pay in 16 still. Would pay dredge big money. Include bench "B" on R. L. by all means. Pay all over this claim. Horne says there are 300,000 sq. ft. of B.R. left @ \$1 or \$1.50 per yd. Gross \$300,000 or \$450,000. Horne figures cost of open cut @ \$1 per sq. ft. for working. Thus he would leave ground that would be worth \$2 per cu. yd. Easy money for dredge.

MORRIS. Gross \$95,000.

CLAIM No. 17

Owned by Y. G. Co. Located by Moffat

COFFEY Pretty much all ground taken by estimate in center towards R. L., but not touching bench "A" or flat on R. L., which has not been worked. Greater part of #17 is frozen. About 16' to bedrock. No tailings on strip selected worthy of mention. Old road will be boundary of dredge limit on 18, 19 and 20.

Take strip 250 ft. wide @ 50 cts. sq. ft. - \$64,000.

MILVAIN. Include bench "A" on R. L. by all means. Ground will go \$2.00 per cu. yd. or 75 cts. per sq. ft.; anyway Milvain once tried to buy this claim as it now stands in order to work this bench "A" and subsequently planned to get a lay from Horne (after Horne bought adjoining claim) on 16 to drift same bench as on 17. If he could figure a profit by drifting, what would dredges do?

SIMPSON. Pay spread out when coming through gut at Cl. 14 and 15, and spread out all over 16 and 17. Dredge bench "A" on R. L. by all means. 17 would yield handsomely to dredges.

BEAN. L. L. drifted by laymen, R. L. solid.

MORRIS. Gross \$95,000 (before tailings considered).

CLAIM NO. 18

Owned by Y. G. Co. Locator - Robertson

COFFEY. Partially open cut on strip assumed. About 1/3 taken out by open cut on upper end. Fairly good dirt taken out here in 1904

on last open cut worked. Found good pillars. 18 will not be so well thawed as 19. Some muck. Worst part account tailings about lower boundary of 18 near magnet roadhouse, but wont encounter tailings over 15 to 20 ft. high.

Take strip 100' wide @ 66 cts. sq. ft. - \$25,000 gross.

MILVAIN. No fear of not picking up values to more than pay for dredging thru these tailings.

SIMPSON. Good dredge values left.

BEAN. Drifted by laymen.

MORRIS. Gross \$61,000 (without tailings).

CLAIM NO. 19 (old 21)

Owner, Y. G. Co. Locator, Kimball

COFFEY. Has been drifted. No open cuts. Narrow strip taken, owing to tailings from Amer. Gl. This strip would not cause dredge to encounter tailings over 15' to 20' deep. Pretty much all of the strip would be thawed.

Take strip 100 ft. wide @ 75 cts. sq.ft. - \$37,500 gross.

MILVAIN. No fear of not picking up values to more than pay for dredging through these shallow tailings. This ground is very good - enriched by both Magnet and Amer. Gl's.

SIMPSON Very good dredge values in this ground, tho' it has been drifted, most of drifting done on other limit.

BEAN. Pretty well drifted.

MORRIS Gross \$61,000 (before tailings considered)

CLAIM NO. 20 (old 22)

Owner, Y.G.Co. Locator - Waltfield

COFFEY Drifted mostly by laymen. Numerous pillars left owing to laymen being drowned out. Considerable thawed ground. Take strip 150 ft. wide @ 53.4 cts.sq.yd. - \$40,000.

MILVAIN Should make good money for dredge. Ground better than that in the 80's where 3 & 6 are now.

SIMPSON Not worked much. Good values for dredge.

MORRIS Gross - Nil

CLAIM No. 20-A

Owner Y. G. Co.

Locator - Walker

COFFEY Drifted mostly by laymen, hence not thoroughly. Numerous pillars left owing to laymen being drawn out. Some thawed.

Take strip 175 ft. wide @ 68 cts. sq. ft. - \$15,000.

MILVAIN Drifted haphazard by laymen. Should make money for dredge. Over 60 cts. sq. ft., which means about \$1.75 per cu.yd. Any of these claims are better than in the 80's where 3 and 6 did so well this year.

SIMPSON Not worked thoroughly. Only by laymen. Very good dredging ground.

MORRIS Worked out - gross nil.

CLAIM No. 21 (old 24)

Owner, Y. G. Co.

Locator, Hester

COFFEY Drifted mostly by laymen. Numerous pillars left owing to laymen being drawn out. Some thawed.

Take strip 175' wide @ app. 50 cts. sq. ft. - \$45,000 gross.

MILVAIN Upper end had small open cut. Just about made expenses. Rests gophered by laymen. All these claims were worked by laymen about 1898 on small 50 ft. lays. These men would drift till pay began to skimp, then quit. Result: claims were never finished. Most of the claims were worked in this fashion and never by a systematic layman. This claim should make good money for a dredge.

SIMPSON Not worked much. Very good dredging ground.

BEAN ?

MORRIS Worked out.

CLAIM NO. 22 (old 25)

Owner, Y.G.Co.

Locator, Baker.

COFFEY Drifted mostly by laymen, hence not thoroughly. Numerous pillars left owing to laymen being drowned out. Considerable probably thawed.

Take strip 200 ft. wide @ 51 cts. sq.ft. - \$35,000 gross.

MILVAIN Gophered and only worked by laymen, hence not thoroughly. Good blocky bedrock for dredge. Not too heavy for dredge. About 16'deep.

SIMPSON Not worked much. Good ground for dredge.

BEAN Not familiar with claim

MORRIS Gross \$53,500.

CLAIM NO. 23 (old 26)

Owner, Y. G. Co.

Locator - Wiborg

COFFEY One open cut, the remainder more or less drifted by laymen. Numerous pillars left owing to laymen being drowned out. Very good values on R. L. next to road. probably all frozen but Creek. Take strip 250 ft. wide @ 41 cts. per sq. ft.- gross \$45,000.

MILVAIN. Not familiar with claim, but worth dredging.

SIMPSON. Not familiar with claim, but worth dredging.

BEAN. Small open cut on upper corner of claim, L.L.& on lower R. L. thoroughly open cut. Claim also drifted.

MORRIS. Gross \$60,000.

CLAIM NO. 24

Owner, Y. G. Co.

Locator - McDonald

COFFEY. Could blow off tailings if necessary (same as 25). Claim has been drifted, good pillars left. Never open cut except small one on L. L. Small blocky bedrock O.K. for dredge. Gold retained in cracks. All frozen except creek. (McCarthy would have trouble thawing through 20' of tailings and 15' more to B.R.)

Take 100 ft. strip @ about 40 cts. sq. ft. - \$15,000.

MILVAIN. Quite a bit of money left in this claim. Was very good claim. No open cuts, only drifted, and then only by wood fires, which means good values left for dredge.

SIMPSON. Worked steadily winter and summer for several years by drifting, but work was not systematic or thorough.

BEAN Not all worked out.

MORRIS. Nil

CLAIM NO. 25 (old 28)

Owner, Y. G. Co.

Locator, Connor

COFFEY Drifted but several good pillars on R.L. where we would take dredge. Would encounter tailings at Fox Gulch, probably 20 ft. deep on starboard edge of 100 ft. cut. Could drop pipe down from adjacent Bonanza Pipe and blow off tailings during high water in spring. This would take one man (at the nozzle) about two weeks; the total cost of moving tailings, including cost of laying pipe, would be about 5 cts.

Chickery

per yard. Otherwise trouble in deep thawing. Good pillars left in this claim. Take strip 100' wide @ 31 cts.sq.ft. - \$15,000 gross.

AUSTIN Could not get by Claim 25 without moving Bonanza Pipe. It would be necessary to take pipe off trestle and remove at least one bent. This would entail several days loss of water at hydraulic mines above Bonanza Pipe, and the mines below could not use all the water, as it would merely leave El #2 and the Lovett Gulch mines running. In the event of Jackson Gulch being operated, most of the water could be used.

MILVAIN Drifted considerably, but not worked out entirely.

SIMPSON Dredge would pick up good values. One man in one week could blow off tailings at less than 5 cts. cu.yd.

BEAN Drifted by laymen for 5 or 6 winters. Has been good claim. Good pillars left. Well gophered. O.K. for dredge. Frozen some.

MORRIS Nil

CLAIM NO. 26 (old 29)

Owner, Y. G. Co.

Locator, Hamilton

COFFEY Open cut from end to end. All worked out. Never was any good. Best pay was found center to L.L. Take this as worked out for dredge.

MILVAIN Drifted and open cut. Would get enough to pay working.

BEAN Long open cut on L. L. Thawed. Probably not drifted.

SIMPSON Good enough ground for dredge even where open cut.

MORRIS Nil

CLAIM NO. 27 (old 30)

Owner, Y.G.Co.

Locator, Young

COFFEY Part open cut, part drifted similar to 28. Take strip 150' wide @ 40 cts. sq.ft. - \$20,000 gross value. Mostly frozen.

MILVAIN This claim has been drifted a lot, but never systematically Small open cut. Claim went about \$1.50 sq.ft.

BEAN Pretty well worked out by O.C. Thawed.

SIMPSON No open cut than S. knows of. Some young fellows from N.C.Co.pretended to work it, but only scratched it.

MORRIS Nil

CLAIM NO. 28

Owner, Y. G. Co. Locator, Cooper

COFFEY Half has been open cut, the rest drifted. Coffey says: Dredge the drifted area on L. L. 150' wide, 468 long @ 40 cts. sq. ft. - \$28,000. Frozen in drifted portion where El #1 is working on lower boundary of 28 (This applies to drifted ground in all these claims. Any part open cut is probably thawed.

MILVAIN. Hasn't been badly worked. Take ground @ 50 cts. sq. ft. (conservative).

BEAN Upper half open cut and thawed. One half of claim worked out.

SIMPSON Lund, who worked open cut on R. L., lost money because he had trouble manipulating open cut, drowned out. etc. Still good pay in claim - great depth to pay. Lots of pay left even by open cut, because many open cut operators had to leave ground worth \$3 per cu. yd. as it did not pay, as follows: One man cant handle over 2 cu. yds. per day, especially where gold had been stopped by reef in B.R., and has sunk down into deep cracks in B. R. One man handling 2 yds. of \$3 ground per day gives a gross of \$6 day while the costs are greater. This value of ground would be great for a dredge. Would recommend dredge trying these open cuts and panning the buckets thoroughly as a test.

MORRIS Gross \$30,000

CLAIMS 29 B. BONANZA TO 16 B. BONANZA

By G. F. Co.

Claim No.	Length	Width	Sq. Feet	Value Sq. Feet	Gross Value	Morris
28	468	150	70,200	40	28,000	30,000
27	386	150	57,900	"	20,000	Nil
26						
25	478	100	47,800		15,000	Nil
24	338	150	50,700		20,000	Nil
23	440	250	110,000		45,000	60,000
22	342	200	68,400		35,000	53,500
21	519	175	90,800		45,000	Nil
20 A	148	150	22,200		15,000	Nil
20	500	150	75,000		40,000	Nil
19	500	100	50,000		37,500	37,500
18	379	100	37,900		25,000	61,000
17	512	250	128,000		64,000	95,000
16	466	250	116,500		50,000	95,000

Dredge Operation Costs 1909

	-1-	-2-	-3-	-4-	-5-	-6-	-7-
Maximum	31	42½	48½	37½	139	52	34½
Minimum	9½	26	16	12½	27	22	23½
Average	20	39½	28½	31-1/3	48½	33½	31
Thawing	5½	18½	10½	16½	31½	16½	16½

EXAMINATION REPORT ON BONANZA CLAIMS 8 to 28 Incl. By F.L.Morris

Claim	Owner	Total Measured Area Sq.Feet	Area of Value Sq. Feet	Total Average Depth Feet	Cubic Yards of Value Total	Worked Areas-Sq.Ft. Open Cut Drifted	Solid Area Sq.Ft.	Estimated Avg.Value per Sq.Ft. cu.yd.	Gross Value	Working Cost per cu.yd. Total	Net Value cu.yd.	Total Net Value	See Below	Remarks D.H.F.				
8	Bon.	154000	Entirely covered with tailings - Practically worked out										Covered by tailings					
8A	Johnson	58200	58200	18	39000	39000	None	Center & LL Narrow strip R L	50	75	29000	30	12000	45	\$17000.	\$336000.	Worked some since 1907	
9	Horne	85000	85000	18	57000	57000	"	"	50	75	42500	30	17000	45	25500	319000	do	
10	Y.G.Co.	108000		18		72000	Nearly All Upper L.L.											
11		99000		16		57500	99000	All										
12	Y.G.Co.	122000		18		81500	122000	All										
13	Horne	132800		20		100000	132800										#13 worked out by Horne	
14	"	171800		20		127000	171800										#14 do	
15	"	254500	74000	22	60000	208000	134500	46000	74000	62	76	46000	35	21000	41	25000	293500	Worked out by Horne in 1909
16	"	331000	173000	21	135000	258000	48000	133000	150000	55	70.7	95000	30	40000	40.7	55000	268500	
17	Y.G.Co.	480000	190000	20	141000	356000		90000	100000	50	67.5	95000	30	42000	37.5	53000	213500	L.L.all covered with tigs
18	"	288000	70000	21	54500	224000	9000	Center & LL Strip on RL	87.7	112	61000	30	16000	82	45000	160500	Nearly covered	
19	"	287000	50000	22	41000	234000	Nearly All 100' on RL		75	92	37500	30	12500	62	25000	115500	do	
20	"	133000		24		118000	"	"									U $\frac{1}{2}$ LL do	
20A	"	23000		24		20000	"	"										
21	"	97000		24		86000	"	"										
22	"	107000	107000	24	95000	95000	Partially	Pillars	50	56.2	53500	35	33000	21.2	20500	90500		
23	"	152000	40000	24	135500	135000	"	"	150	169	60000	35	12500	134	47500	70000	Downstream L L Corner - Tailings	
24	"	85000		27		85000		85000									Almost covered with tailings	
25	"	122000		35		158000		158000									& Bonanza Pipe	
26	"	100000		20		74000	30000	70000										
27	"	86500		19		61000	26500	60000									Tailings dammed off Cr.Claim	
28	"	98000	30000	19	21000	69000	Most	Lower End	100	142	30000	35	7500	107	22500	22500	do	

\$ 336000

RUNNING TOTALS UP STREAM NET VALUE
 Note:-This does not include working costs thru barren claims

B-5

Kanunaka Report

Boranga

Clavis 28 to 16 incl.

Rel Boranga

By
W. H. Henry

July 28/10



Library and Archives
Canada

395 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0N4

Bibliothèque et Archives
Canada

395, rue Wellington
Ottawa, ON K1A 0N4

For material still subject to legislative, contractual or institutional obligations, users warrant that they will respect those obligations and not use LAC collections in a manner that would infringe the rights of others. Liability that may arise in the use of a copy is assumed in full by the user. LAC accepts no responsibility for unauthorized use of collection material by users.

To ensure proper citation and to facilitate relocation of an item, the source of the material and its reference number should always accompany the copy.

Pour les documents faisant encore l'objet d'obligations législatives, contractuelles ou institutionnelles, les usagers s'engagent à respecter ces obligations et à ne pas utiliser les documents des collections de BAC de façon à nuire aux droits d'autrui. Ils doivent assumer entièrement toute responsabilité qui pourrait découler de l'utilisation d'une reproduction de document. BAC décline toute responsabilité quant à l'utilisation non autorisée de documents provenant de ses collections.

Afin de citer un document avec exactitude et d'en faciliter le repérage, sa source et son numéro de référence doivent toujours accompagner la reproduction.

TITLE/TITRE _____
RG _____ MG 28 R- _____ SERIES/SÉRIE III-43
ACCESSION _____ VOL 53 PAGE(S) 19
BOX/BOÎTE _____ REEL/BOBINÉ _____
FILE/DOSSIER N.W. Corp. Examination Reports on Bonanza 1910
DATE March 2014